

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

9-0022 EXAM	7356	
FXAN	INEP	
EXAMINER		
MAIORINO, ROZ		
TINU	PAPER NUMBER	
63	,	
_	T UNIT 3763	

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A C C Al-	Ammliaam4(=)			
J.		Application No. Applicant(s)				
Office Assis : 2		10/092,955	ROHR ET AL.			
Опісе	Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Roz Maiorino	3763			
The MAIL Period for Reply	NG DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover she	et with the correspondence a	ddress		
THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time mafter SIX (6) MONTH  - If the period for reply - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply within Any reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ay be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 S from the mailing date of this communication, specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the Office later than three months after the mailing dijustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, now thin the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX (6, cause the application to beco	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered time ) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	oly. communication.		
Status						
1) Responsive	e to communication(s) filed on <u>24 M</u>	ay 2003.				
2a)⊠ This action	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.				
•						
Disposition of Clair	ns					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> .  4a) Of the a  5) □ Claim(s) _  6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> .  7) □ Claim(s) _	above claim(s) is/are withdrawis/are allowed.  15, 17-20 is/are rejected.  16, is/are objected to.  17, are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideratior				
Application Papers						
9) The specific	cation is objected to by the Examine	er.	•			
•	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
• •						
•	nt drawing sheet(s) including the correct declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119					
a) All b) Cert 2. Cert 3. Cop	gment is made of a claim for foreign Some * c) None of: ified copies of the priority document ified copies of the priority document ies of the certified copies of the priority ication from the International Burea ched detailed Office action for a list	s have been received s have been received rity documents have I u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	i.  I in Application No  Deen received in this Nationa	l Stage		
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of Reference			view Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date			
	son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) ate	5) 🔲 Notic	r:  r:	O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/092,955

Art Unit: 3763

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

1. Claims 1, 3-8, 10,12, 14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent Pub No. 2002/01833800 A1 to Schmidt et al.

Schmidt teaches a delivery system with a delivery pump a delivery conduit connected to the pump, a sensor implantable within a subject and a control unit, in communication

Art Unit: 3763

with the sensor and the pump wherein the control unit receives the sensor output signal and communicates a delivery signal to the pump to deliver the drug at a rate and for a duration effective to achieve a desired biochemical parameter within a predetermined range. The control unit compiles and communicates the data. (Paragraph 0081, 0088)

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 9, 11, 13, 14-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 6340588 to Nova et al , and further in view of US Patent NO. 2002/0183800 to Schmidt.

Nova teaches a delivery system with a delivery pump a delivery conduit connected to the pump, a sensor implantable within a subject and a control unit, in communication with the sensor and the pump wherein the control unit receives the sensor output signal and communicates a delivery signal to the pump to deliver the drug at a rate and for a duration effective to achieve a desired biochemical parameter within a predetermined range.(Col. 137, Lines 25-45, Col. 140-141, lines 65-66, lines1-30)

Nova does not teach control unit compiles and communicates the data, however Schmidt does.

Therefore it would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to combine the two reference, because the control unit in Schmidt allows for a more accurate drug delivery.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 5/24/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant alleges Schmidt's does not teach the applicant invention in its original application now patent No. 6650942. however that is not correct, on paragraph 007 of Schmidt does teach applicant's invention.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roz Maiorino whose telephone number is 703-305-2336. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-5:30pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/092,955

Art Unit: 3763

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 703-308-2698. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RM Rn A

NICHOLAS D. LUCCHESI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700